

Marking Guide



75th Anniversary Joint Grant for Promoting Soil Science

Document version 1.0

1. Overview

The activity proposed will be assessed according to:

- (i) Quality and originality of the proposed activity (50 points)
 - a. Rationale, clarity, and relevance of the methodology (40 points)
 - b. Originality of the activity proposed (10 points)
- (ii) Impact potential across the identified stakeholders (20 points)
- (iv) Feasibility (20 points)
- (v) Scientific/professional age of the application team (10 points).

| Criteria | Weak (0-50%) | Good (50-75%) | Excellent (75-100%) |
|---|--|--|---|
| Quality (50 pts.) | | | |
| Rational and methodology (40 pts.) | The rational and objectives ar clear | re Rational and objectives are clear. The methodology covers the objectives | e Rational and objectives are clear. The methodology covers the objectives efficiently |
| Originality (10 pts.) | Activity showing few originality aspects | wActivity showing evident originalit aspects | yHighly innovative activity |
| Impact (10 pts.) | | d Stakeholders identified and the impac y of the activity justified and is relevant | et Stakeholders identified and the impact of the activity justified and highly relevant |
| Feasibility (20 pts.) | Activity feasible | Activity easily feasible | Activity easily feasible and back-up plans of the risky parts in place |
| Scientific/professional age of The team does include up to The team does include many Early The activity is led by an Early Career member the applicants (10 pts.) one Early Career member Career members | | | |